

**Eagle Mountain Pumped  
Storage Project No. 13123  
Final License Application  
Exhibit D  
Project Costs and Financing**

Palm Desert, California

Submitted to: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
Submitted by: Eagle Crest Energy Company

Date: June 22, 2009  
Project No. 080473  
©2009 Eagle Crest Energy Company

# Table of Contents

---

|                 |   |            |
|-----------------|---|------------|
| <b><u>1</u></b> | <b><u>Estimated Construction Costs</u></b>                        | <b>1-1</b> |
| 1.1             | Summary   | 1-1        |
| 1.2             | Land and Water Rights   | 1-1        |
| 1.3             | Construction Cost Estimate  | 1-2        |
| 1.4             | Equipment Cost Estimate   | 1-2        |
| 1.5             | Engineering and Administration                                    | 1-2        |
| 1.6             | Interest During Construction                                      | 1-3        |
| 1.7             | Escalation  | 1-3        |
| 1.8             | Contingencies   | 1-3        |
| <b><u>2</u></b> | <b><u>Existing Facilities</u></b>                                 | <b>2-1</b> |
| <b><u>3</u></b> | <b><u>Takeover Costs</u></b>                                      | <b>3-1</b> |
| <b><u>4</u></b> | <b><u>Estimated Average Annual Cost</u></b>                       | <b>4-1</b> |
| 4.1             | Cost of Capital   | 4-1        |
| 4.2             | Taxes   | 4-1        |
| 4.3             | Land and Water Costs  | 4-1        |
| 4.4             | Insurance   | 4-2        |
| 4.5             | Energy Costs  | 4-2        |
| <b><u>5</u></b> | <b><u>Estimated Annual Value of Project Power</u></b>             | <b>5-3</b> |
| 5.1             | Capacity Cost of the Project                                      | 5-3        |
| 5.2             | Energy Costs of the Project                                       | 5-3        |
| 5.3             | Estimated Cost of Lowest Cost Alternative Source of Power         | 5-4        |
| <b><u>6</u></b> | <b><u>Alternative Pumped Storage</u></b>                          | <b>6-1</b> |
| <b><u>7</u></b> | <b><u>Consequence of Denial of Application</u></b>                | <b>7-1</b> |
| <b><u>8</u></b> | <b><u>Sources and Extent of Financing and Annual Revenues</u></b> | <b>8-1</b> |
| 8.1             | Licensing Phase   | 8-1        |
| 8.2             | Construction Phase  | 8-1        |
| 8.3             | Term Financing  | 8-1        |
| 8.4             | Annual Operating Revenues   | 8-1        |
| <b><u>9</u></b> | <b><u>List of Literature</u></b>                                  | <b>9-1</b> |

**Tables**

---

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Table 1-1: Eagle Mountain Pumped Storage Project Preliminary<br>Construction Cost Estimate (2009 Dollars) | 1-1 |
| Table 4-1: Eagle Mountain Pumped Storage Project Estimated Annual<br>Project Costs (2009 Dollars)         | 4-1 |
| Table 5-1: Pumped Storage Project Cost  | 5-4 |
| Table 5-2: Combined Cycle Plant Cost  | 5-5 |

# 1 Estimated Construction Costs

## 1.1 Summary

The construction costs for the 1300 megawatt (MW) Eagle Mountain Pumped Storage Project are summarized in Table 1-1, categorized by FERC account numbers. The direct project construction cost estimate is \$1,171 million, including a provision for the main transmission interconnection line cost.

**Table 1-1: Eagle Mountain Pumped Storage Project Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate (2009 Dollars)**

| Account No. | Description                              | Amount (\$)            |
|-------------|--|------------------------|
| 330         | Land and Water Rights                    | 33,264,000             |
| 331         | Structures & Improvements                | 107,088,100            |
| 332         | Reservoirs, Dams, & Waterways            | 392,446,900            |
| 333         | Waterwheels, Turbines, & Generators      | 263,118,400            |
| 334         | Accessory Electrical Equipment           | 208,635,900            |
| 335         | Miscellaneous Powerplant Equipment       | 47,175,400             |
| 336         | Road, Rails, & Bridges                   | 68,445,600             |
| 353         | Substation & Switch Station Equipment    | 17,249,700             |
| 354/356     | Transmission Line                        | 34,020,000             |
|             | <b>Subtotal Direct Construction Cost</b> | <b>\$1,171,444,000</b> |
|             |  |                        |
| 71          | Engineering, Permitting and CM           | 76,144,000             |
| 75          | Sales Tax                                | 22,697,000             |
| 76          | Owners Administration and Legal          | 15,228,000             |
| 77          | Interest During Construction             | 124,915,000            |
|             | <b>Subtotal Overhead Costs</b>           | <b>238,984,000</b>     |
|             |  |                        |
|             | <b>TOTAL COST OF PROJECT</b>             | <b>\$1,410,428,000</b> |

Notes:

1. Contingencies, contractor mobilization, bonds and insurance are prorated into the individual line items.
2. Turbine generator and electrical equipments costs are based on quotation from Toshiba received in December 2008.

## 1.2 Land and Water Rights

Project lands will be acquired within the Project boundaries. The majority of this will be in the area of the two reservoirs, switchyard, and administration areas; which are a combination of Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and Kaiser Ventures, LLC. land. An exchange of lands between Kaiser and the BLM is pending, awaiting a legal decision from the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. The ownership of a small portion of the proposed Project lands is affected. In any case, these lands will either be privately held, or held by the United States and managed by the BLM.

A long-term lease is the preferred vehicle for use of the land. Most of the additional lands, primarily for the electrical transmission line and water delivery pipeline, will be on BLM and other private lands. Long-term agreements will be negotiated for the use of these lands when the exact land needs are known following licensing and completion of the California Independent System Operator (CAISO) operational / interconnection analyses.

Water for initial filling of the reservoir dead storage and the active volume (total of 24,200 acre-feet) and for annual makeup supplies will be obtained from wells. The cost to develop the groundwater supply is treated as a component of the capital cost. Annual makeup water supply costs are a component of the operations and management cost.

The land acquisition costs will occur on an annual basis and are also included in the annual operating cost, rather than in the construction cost estimate. A lease cost allowance has been provided. The actual cost will be established in negotiations between Eagle Crest Energy (ECE) and Kaiser Ventures, LLC.

### **1.3 Construction Cost Estimate**

The construction cost estimate is based on quantity takeoffs developed from the feasibility-level drawings presented in Exhibit F.

Cost estimates for tunneling and underground work were developed based on researching recently completed projects. Other construction costs are based upon unit costs and allowances derived from experience with similar types of projects in comparable areas and conditions. Costs were developed to correspond to prices as of early 2009.

### **1.4 Equipment Cost Estimate**

The costs for the major equipment items have been based upon budget price quotations from suppliers of this equipment and discussions with multiple prospective vendors. Other mechanical and electrical equipment costs were estimated based on experience and information from similar projects. Costs were developed to correspond to materials and labor prices as of early 2009.

### **1.5 Engineering and Administration**

An allowance of 8 percent and 1 percent of the estimated total direct construction cost has been included to cover the costs of engineering (design engineering and all engineering and management during construction) and owner's administration, respectively.

This allowance will cover the estimated cost of preliminary and final engineering design; preparation of contract construction drawings and contract documents; engineering during construction and construction management; project closeout and preparation of as-built drawings; and the cost associated with the owner's administration of the contracts.

Also included are the expenditures already made for studies, as well as the estimated costs to obtain federal, State, and local permits and licenses; site investigations and surveys; and environmental studies.

## **1.6 Interest During Construction**

The interest during construction was estimated based on expected cash flow requirements during construction. The cash flow was predicted on the basis of an estimated annual expenditure schedule for the project.

## **1.7 Escalation**

Cost escalation from early 2009 to future years will be based on an assumed inflation rate of 3 percent per year.

## **1.8 Contingencies**

A contingency factor of 10 percent was added to all estimated civil engineering costs, and a contingency of 10 percent was applied to major mechanical/electrical equipment cost estimates. This allowance is in addition to an allowance of 10 percent for unlisted items in the quantity takeoffs and lump sum estimates.

## **2 Existing Facilities**

---

There are no existing licensed or unlicensed water power structures or facilities that will be used or incorporated into the Project.

### **3 Takeover Costs**

---

There are no takeover costs.

## 4 Estimated Average Annual Cost

The estimated annual Project costs are shown in Table 4-1. The costs are based upon several assumptions as discussed below.

**Table 4-1: Eagle Mountain Pumped Storage Project Estimated Annual Project Costs (2009 Dollars)**

| <b>Operating Cost Elements</b> | <b>First 3 Years (\$/yr)</b> | <b>Remaining Years (\$/yr)</b> |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Property Tax                   | \$7,670,000                  | \$7,670,000                    |
| Water Pumping                  | \$1,333,000                  | \$170,000                      |
| Land Leases                    | \$2,000,000                  | \$2,000,000                    |
|                                |                              |                                |
| Water Treatment                | \$720,000                    | \$720,000                      |
| Property Insurance             | \$7,000,000                  | \$7,000,000                    |
|                                |                              |                                |
| Home Office Administration     | \$1,500,000                  | \$1,500,000                    |
| Supplies and Parts             | \$2,500,000                  | \$2,500,000                    |
| FERC Fees                      | \$1,350,000                  | \$1,350,000                    |
| Operations Staff               | \$800,000                    | \$800,000                      |
| Maintenance and Parts          | \$4,600,000                  | \$4,600,000                    |
| <b>TOTAL OPERATING COST</b>    | <b>\$29,473,000</b>          | <b>\$28,310,000</b>            |

### 4.1 Cost of Capital

It is expected that the Project will be financed using a combination of debt and equity. The actual structure of the financing will depend on conditions at the time of financing. The annual costs have been calculated based on debt financing for 70 percent of the total Project cost. The debt has been assumed to be for a 20-year period. The equity portion is expected to return a variable amount, averaging about 15 percent over the life of the project.

### 4.2 Taxes

The local property tax was estimated to be 1.1 percent of the estimated in-place facility value. The tax was taken to be constant over the analyzed project life, with any increase in rate offset by project depreciation. State and federal income taxes have been calculated at current tax and depreciation rates, based on the profit shown by the operation of the Project.

### 4.3 Land and Water Costs

The costs to be paid to Kaiser, BLM, and others for land acquisitions required for the Project, has been assumed to be equivalent to \$2 million per year.

## 4.4 Insurance

The estimated insurance premium is 1.0 percent of the estimated in-place facility value Permit Fees

The only known recurring permit fee will be for the FERC license. The FERC fee has historically been variable, depending upon FERCs' costs of administering their duties. The FERC permit fee is estimated to be \$1.35 million per year, which was the maximum charge in 2008 escalated by 3 percent.

Costs for environmental monitoring per the expected terms of the Project license are estimated to be \$500,000 per year, which is part of the administrative expense in Table 4-1.

## 4.5 Energy Costs

The cost to the Project for purchasing pumping energy will depend upon the terms of agreements with potential suppliers. The primary candidates to supply pumping energy are:

- Wind and solar energy from the existing facilities at San Gorgonio Pass, Tehachapi, and other sites under development or planned to be on-line during the next 10 years.
- Palo Verde Nuclear Generation Station near Phoenix Arizona.
- Other off-peak power available on the market from generation sources in California, Arizona, Nevada, and New Mexico.

The Applicant expects that the future spot market cost differential between on-peak and off-peak energy will be significant and will provide an adequate revenue stream to offset the total annual costs of the project and provide a reasonable rate of return to ECE and investors.

## 5 Estimated Annual Value of Project Power

---

Eagle Mountain Project benefits will include delivery of peaking capacity and energy, spinning reserve, load-following, voltage regulation, system stability enhancements, and black start capability. Revenues from the Project will depend upon Market Clearing Prices established by the CAISO. The CAISO provides day ahead hourly forecasts of load and market clearing prices. The Project can tailor its operations to take advantage of the marketplace.

### 5.1 Capacity Cost of the Project

The levelized annual cost of the Project is estimated to be approximately \$140 per kilowatt –year (kW-yr) in 2008 dollars (Table 5-1), based on the following assumptions.

#### (a) Cost of Capital

The project finance terms are the most significant factor in determining annual cost of the project. The key variables influencing capital cost are debt/equity ratio, return on equity, interest rate, and finance period. The values used in the comparison are:

|                         |               |
|-------------------------|---------------|
| Debt/Equity ratio ..... | 70/30 percent |
| Interest Rate .....     | 6 percent     |
| Finance Period .....    | 20 years      |

#### (b) Plant Life

The return on equity was computed using a life of 50 years for the Project.

#### (c) Discount Rate

A discount rate of 6 percent was used to compute the net present value (NPV) of the cash flow streams. The internal rate of return, before taxes, for the Equity Investors is projected to be about 15 percent.

#### (d) Annual Operating Costs

Annual operating costs are assumed for this comparison to be fixed and independent of energy costs. Costs shown in Table 4-1 are estimated to be \$33.1 million in 2009.

### 5.2 Energy Costs of the Project

On-peak energy will be produced by the Project at a levelized cost of \$140 per kW-yr, as shown in Table 5-1.

**Table 5-1: Pumped Storage Project Cost**

| <b>Overall</b>                      |                 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Cycle Efficiency                    | 80%             |
| Total Project Cost (\$1000)         | \$1,285,500,000 |
| Installed Capacity (kW)             | 1,300,000       |
| Project Life, Years                 | 50              |
| Cost per kW                         | \$989           |
| <b>Debt Structure</b>               |                 |
| Equity                              | 30%             |
| Return on Equity (ROE)              | 15%             |
| Equity Amount                       | \$385,650,000   |
| Annual Return on Equity (ROE)       | \$57,847,500    |
| Debt                                | 70%             |
| Debt Amount                         | \$899,850,000   |
| Interest Rate                       | 6%              |
| Terms, Years                        | 20              |
| Annual Debt Service                 | \$78,453,000    |
| Total Debt Service + ROE            |                 |
| Yr (1-20)                           | \$136,300,500   |
| Yr (21-50)                          | \$57,847,500    |
| <b>Annual Expenses</b>              |                 |
| O&M                                 | \$28,310,000    |
| Levelized O&M                       | \$45,626,000    |
|                                     |                 |
| Cost of Debt Service + ROE (\$/kW)  | \$104.85        |
| Fixed Expense (\$/kW)               | \$35.10         |
| <b>Total Levelized Cost (\$/kW)</b> | <b>\$139.94</b> |

### 5.3 Estimated Cost of Lowest Cost Alternative Source of Power

The value of generation capacity provided by the Eagle Mountain Project will be dependent on the negotiation of contracts for peaking power sales and for buying low-cost off-peak energy for pumping. Contract negotiations will not occur until later stages of project development. However, the value of capacity provided by the project can be approximated by the annual cost of obtaining an equivalent amount on on-peak power from the reasonable, least-cost alternative source.

Functionally, large pumped storage projects are similar to large capacity simple-cycle, natural gas-fired peaking units and large combined cycle units. Data published by the CEC in 2007 is provided in Table 5-1 indicates that the levelized 2007 energy production cost for investor-owned utility combined cycle plants in California is on the order of \$95 per megawatt hour (MWh) and that simple-cycle combustion turbine energy production costs can exceed \$500 per MWh.

The Market Monitoring Report of the CAISO (April 2008) indicates that the annualized average fixed cost of a combined cycle generating unit (500 MW) is \$132.6 per kW-yr. The same cost for a 50 MW combustion turbine is \$162.1 per kW-yr. Table 5-2 shows the estimated cost for an 800 MW combined-cycle plant developed using common assumptions made by the CAISO in the Market Monitoring Report for 2007 (April 2008). Based on those common assumptions, the cost of generation would be \$138 per kW-yr, compared to the \$140 per kW-yr for the 1300 MW Eagle Mountain Project.

**Table 5-2: Combined Cycle Plant Cost**

| <b>Overall</b>                      |                 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Capacity Factor                     | 60%             |
| Projected Generation kWh            | 4,204,800,000   |
| Total Project Cost                  | \$680,000,000   |
| Installed Capacity (kW)             | 800,000         |
| Project Life, Years                 | 50              |
| Cost per kW                         | \$850           |
| <b>Debt Structure</b>               |                 |
| Equity                              | 30%             |
| Return on Equity (ROE)              | 15%             |
| Equity Amount                       | \$204,000,000   |
| Annual Return on Equity (ROE)       | \$30,600,000    |
| Debt                                | 70%             |
| Debt Amount                         | \$476,000,000   |
| Interest Rate                       | 6%              |
| Terms, Years                        | 20              |
| Annual Debt Service                 | \$41,500,000    |
| Total Debt Service + ROE            |                 |
| Yr (1-20)                           | \$72,100,000    |
| Yr (21-50)                          | \$30,600,000    |
| <b>Annual Expenses</b>              |                 |
| Fixed O&M @ \$8.50/kW-yr            | \$6,800,000     |
| Variable O&M @ \$4.00/MWh           | \$16,819,000    |
|                                     |                 |
| Cost of Debt Service + ROE (\$/kW)  | \$90.13         |
| Levelized Fixed Expense (\$/kW)     | \$13.70         |
| Levelized Variable O&M (\$/kW)      | \$33.88         |
| <b>Total Levelized Cost (\$/kW)</b> | <b>\$137.71</b> |

## **6 Alternative Pumped Storage**

---

The Applicant believes the unique aspects of this project make it the most competitive pumped storage project available.

## **7 Consequence of Denial of Application**

---

If the Application is denied, other generating alternatives, predominately gas- or oil-fired combustion turbines, will be developed to meet the increasing demand for reliable peaking power generation. Consumers will likely opt for load shedding to the maximum level tolerable. There are dynamic benefits (voltage regulation, black start capability and load following), which can be provided by the proposed pumped storage facility, that are not available when using conventional combustion turbines and would be foregone. This may result in earlier retirement of existing base load thermal facilities, rather than the extended life that is possible with a pumped storage facility in place.

## **8 Sources and Extent of Financing and Annual Revenues**

---

### **8.1 Licensing Phase**

The Applicant intends to use internal and private sources to finance costs through the licensing phase of the project. These costs, associated with engineering and environmental studies, public relations, project management, legal services, option payments, and power sales negotiations, are estimated to be approximately \$5 million.

### **8.2 Construction Phase**

All of the financing for the construction of the Project is proposed to be through bank debt (the “Construction Debt”) lent to the Project on a non-recourse basis. Draw downs on the Construction Debt will be based on achieving milestones during construction. The accrued interest during construction will be capitalized and form part of the Term Loan.

### **8.3 Term Financing**

The principal and accrued Interest of the Construction Debt will convert to a Term Loan upon completion of the construction of the Project and commercial operation of the plant. The final draw down of the construction Debt will be sufficient to cover refinancing expenses, working capital, debt service reserve and any other requirements under the Loan Facility. Long term financing will be a combination of senior and subordinated debt and equity.

The repayment schedule for the Term Loan is based on equal installments of interest and principal over a term of approximately 20 years of operation at full output.

### **8.4 Annual Operating Revenues**

The Applicant expects that the annual revenue from the Project will be adequate to meet annual cost obligations of the project and provide a suitable return on investment. Project revenues will derive from the sale of capacity, ancillary benefits to the electric system, and the sale of on-peak energy.

## 9 List of Literature

---

California Energy Commission. (2007). California Energy Demand 2008-2018 Staff Revised Forecast. Staff Final Report. CEC-200-2007-015-SF2.

California Energy Commission. (2007). Comparative Costs of California Central Station Electricity Generation Technologies. Final Staff Report. CEC-200-2007-011-SF.

California Energy Commission. (2007). Integrated Energy Policy Report 2007.

California Independent System Operator Corporation. (2007). Department of Market Monitoring. Market Issues & Performance. 2007 Annual Report.